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Summary

The Hybrid perfectly matched layer (H-PML) is extended to simulate second order displacement-stress elastic wave equations. In this report, the simulation results with both H-PML and C-PML in isotropic and anisotropic media are compared. H-PML is capable of absorbing boundary reflections in both isotropic and anisotropic media, but the C-PML only works perfectly in isotropic media. The simulation results with H-PML for both first order and second order elastic wave equations show its efficiency in boundary reflections suppression.

Introduction

For numerical simulations of elastic wave equations, absorbing boundary conditions (ABCs) are needed at the truncated boundaries to suppress the artificial reflections. The PML approach to boundary absorption, introduced by Berenger (1994), has been proven to be very efficient compared with previously developed methods (Collino and Tsogka, 2001; Komatitsch and Tromp, 2003; Festa and Vilotte, 2005). The original PML has two main imperfections: 1) the velocity and stress fields are required to be split into two subfields respectively; and 2) its efficiency decreases at grazing incidence after discretization, because the damping coefficient is inversely proportional to the angular frequency and thus depends on the direction of propagation of the wave. In order to improve the response of the discrete PML at grazing incidence, the convolutional PML (or C-PML) method (Kuzuoglu and Mittra, 1996) or the complex frequency shifted-PML (CFS-PML) method (Bérenger, 2002) can be invoked. The CFS-PML method introduces a frequency-dependent term which eliminates the requirement that the velocity-stress equation be split into separate terms. However, this C-PML is not stable in anisotropic media. The multiaxial perfectly matched layer (M-PML) method has been found to be stable even for media exhibiting very large degrees of anisotropy (Meza-Fajardo and Papageorgiou, 2008). But its absorbing capability in isotropic is less effective compared with the C-PML. To maximize both accuracy and stability, a hybrid PML (H-PML) method that combines the advantages of both the C-PML and the M-PML through the optimization of the damping profile is proposed (Li et al., 2017).

As the classical PML and PML methods discussed above were primarily designed for first-order equation system, they cannot be applied to the second-order system directly. However, the first-order wave equations are based on the iterations of velocity and stress components. This implies when the first-order based wave equations are used into full waveform inversion (FWI), the velocity components should be transformed into displacement components to calculate the misfit function. It is necessary to develop a PML for the second order wave equations. Komatitsch and Tromp (2003) first proposed the split PML to the second-order seismic wave equation. In 2009, Pinton et al. (2009) proposed an unsplit PML for the second-order acoustic equation and it was used in ultrasonic imaging simulation. But third-order temporal partial derivatives have to be calculated. Liu et al. (2012) further improved this method. However, it’s difficult be applied in the implementation of C-PML. Li and Bou Matar (2010) proposed a C-PML for the second-order elastic wave equations, yet he mentioned the instabilities of this method to be applied into anisotropic medium. Ping et al. (2014) presented an M-PML for the second-order elastic wave equation, but the wave fields are required to be split. In this paper, the H-PML are implemented to the second-order wave equations in both isotropic and anisotropic media. Its comparisons with the C-PML for...
second-order elastic wave equations and the H-PML for first-order elastic wave equations proves its stability in reducing boundary reflections.

H-PML FOR SECOND ORDER ELASTICWAVE EQUATIONS

In the case of a transverse isotopic medium, the second-order wave equation system can be written as,

\[
\frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial t^2} - \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \frac{\partial \sigma_{11}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{13}}{\partial z} \right), \quad \frac{\partial^2 u_3}{\partial t^2} - \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \frac{\partial \sigma_{31}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{33}}{\partial z} \right)
\]

(1)

\[
\sigma_{11} = C_{11} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} + C_{13} \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial z}, \quad \sigma_{33} = C_{13} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} + C_{33} \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial z}, \quad \sigma_{13} = C_{44} \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} \right)
\]

(2)

where, \(u_1,u_3\) denote the displacements, \(\sigma_{ij}, (i,j = 1,3)\) is the stress tensor and \(C_{11},C_{13},C_{33}\) are the elastic constants.

In H-PML, for the new operator

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\rho_s} \left( \frac{\partial \sigma_{11}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{13}}{\partial z} \right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\rho_s} \left( \frac{\partial \sigma_{31}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{33}}{\partial z} \right)
\]

(3)

where, \(\rho_s,\kappa_s\) are real and \(\sigma_{ij}, \alpha_{ij}\) are assumed to be positive and real. The additional damping profiles \(m_{ij}, m_{ij}\) are weighting factors. Using the complex coordinate variables \(x_s, z_s\) to replace the original coordinate variables in equation (1) and (2), we obtain new displacement-stress equation system in frequency domain

\[
\frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial t^2} - \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \frac{\partial \sigma_{11}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{13}}{\partial z} \right), \quad \frac{\partial^2 u_3}{\partial t^2} - \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \frac{\partial \sigma_{31}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{33}}{\partial z} \right)
\]

(4)

\[
\sigma_{11} = C_{11} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} + C_{13} \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial z}, \quad \sigma_{33} = C_{13} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} + C_{33} \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial z}, \quad \sigma_{13} = C_{44} \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} \right)
\]

(5)

In order to get the H-PML formulation in time domain, equation set (4) and (5) should be transformed back to time domain by inverse Fourier transform. Take the first equation of equation set (4) and (5) as an example, it can be expressed in time domain as

\[
\frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial t^2} = \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \frac{\partial \sigma_{11}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\kappa_s} \frac{\partial \sigma_{13}}{\partial z} \right), \quad \frac{\partial \sigma_{11}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\kappa_s} \frac{\partial \sigma_{13}}{\partial z}
\]

(6)

\[
\sigma_{11} = C_{11} \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\phi_x \sigma_{11}}{\kappa_s} \right) + C_{13} \left( \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial z} + \frac{\phi_z \sigma_{13}}{\kappa_s} \right)
\]

(7)

Examples

In order to demonstrate the difference between C-PML and H-PML in second order elastic wave equations, numerical simulations of elastic wave propagation in layered isotropic medium are presented. The calculation domain (including 25 x5m PMLs) is 1750 m width and 1750 m height. The properties of the elastic media are in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Parameters of layered model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(V_p(m/s))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third layer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A directional point source located at (135 m, 935 m), is loaded on displacement component towards X direction. The point source is the derivative of a Ricker wavelet given by

\[
f(t) = A_0 (2\pi f_0^2 t^2) e^{-\pi^2 f_0^2 (t-t_0)^2} + 8A_0 (2\pi f_0^4 t^3) e^{-\pi^2 f_0^2 (t-t_0)^2}
\]

(8)

The corresponding Ricker wavelet is
In this paper, for the second order displacement-stress wave equations, we apply the point source in equation (8) to the displacement components. And for the first order velocity-stress wave equations, because the relationship between displacement and normal stress components \( \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i}, v_i, i=x,y,z \right) \), we put the point source of the Ricker wavelet in equation (9) on the velocity components instead. The time evolutions of the normal stress components \( \tau_{xx} \) (Left) and \( \tau_{zz} \) (Right) for three receivers are plotted in Figure (1). Simulations with C-PML (red line) matches perfectly with simulations with H-PML (blue line). This is in accordance with Li’s results (Li et al., 2017) (both C-PML and H-PML are capable of absorbing boundary reflections effectively in isotropic medium). In second order displacement-stress wave equations, they have almost the same absorbing efficiency. In Figure (2), the snapshots of wave propagation in the layered model with H-PML are also plotted.

The second example is for a VTI model. The time step is 1ms, and a directional point source located in the middle of this model is added on displacement component towards X direction.

In Figure (3), waveforms of normal stress components in the case of both C-PMLs (red dotted line) and H-PMLs (blue line) for absorbing the boundary wave are displayed. The results demonstrated the efficiency of the H-PML in comparison with the C-PML in this VTI model, especially when we zoom in the results from 0.6 s to 1.6 s, the stress components obtained by using C-PML suffer severely from the oscillations because of the boundary reflections. In order to make a comparison, a point source with the Ricker wavelet of equation (9) is added on velocity component in X direction. The normal stress...
components can thus be obtained by the first order velocity-stress staggered-grid FDM with H-PML. Snapshots of the simulations are shown in Figure (4) (Right), which are almost the same with those in Figure (4) (Left) calculated by the first-order wave equations. In Figure (5), waveforms of normal stress components in the case of both first order velocity-stress wave equations (blue line) and second order displacement-stress wave equations (red line) with H-PMLs are displayed. For different receivers, the normal stress components both in X direction (Left) and in Z direction (Right) match quite well for the two different wave equation sets. The results demonstrated the efficiency of the H-PML in both the wave equation sets.

The next example is the simplified anisotropic thrust fault model, in which anisotropy presents through different depth intervals. In Figure (6), a vertical source is located in the upper middle of the fault. With the propagation time increasing, the waveform travels through the model to the boundaries, however, no boundary reflections can be found in each time slice. And the waveforms are absorbed when they travel into the PMLs.

**Conclusions**

In this paper, the H-PML is modified to be applied for suppression of the artificial boundary reflections in second-order wave equations. Its results in both isotropic and anisotropic medium are compared with those of the C-PML approach for the second-order wave equations. The simulation results of the H-PML for first-order and second-order wave equations are also compared. The H-PML can provide satisfying absorbing efficiency for both first and second-order elastic wave equations. And both of the two PMLs are stable and efficient in isotropic medium, yet, instability can be observed in anisotropic medium when C-PML is applied.
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